**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**
**APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

APPEAL FROM ORDER NO.594 OF 2006.
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 776 OF 2006.

Umashankar V. Vishwakarma ... Appellant.
V/s.
Municipal Corp.of Gr. Mumbai. ... Respondents.

Shri Ashok Mishra for the appellant.
Mrs. Geeta Joglekar for the respondents.

**CORAM : A.M. KHANWILKAR, J.**
**DATED : 14.8.2006.**

**P.C. :**
**----**

. Heard Counsel for the parties.

Perused relevant documents on record.

2. I see no reason to interfere with the interlocutory order passed by the lower court. I agree with the reasons recorded in para 7 of the impugned judgment. It is a possible view. Relief which is pressed is only in terms of prayer clause (a) of Notice of Motion No. 2985 of 2005. That is a mandatory relief claimed by the appellant. It is well established that mandatory relief has to be granted only in exceptional circumstances. No such exceptional circumstance has been brought to my notice which would persuade me to take a different view than the one taken by the trial Court. Hence dismised.

**(A.M. KHANWILKAR,J.)**